Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Procedia Computer Science 258 (2025) 2343–2351

Procedia Computer Science

www.elsevier.com/locate/procedia

International Conference on Machine Learning and Data Engineering

Developing Natural Language Processing Algorithms to Fact-Check Speech or Text

Himanshu Sanjay Joshi [a], Hamed Taherdoost [b,c1]

[a]College of Business, Westcliff University, CA, USA
[b]University Canada West, Vancouver, Canada
[c]GUS Institute | Global University Systems, London, UK

Abstract

Natural Language Processing (NLP) is pivotal in the fight against misinformation, offering tools to process and analyze both text and speech. This paper presents the development of NLP algorithms specifically designed for fact-checking, leveraging the capabilities of Question-Answering (QA) systems and knowledge graphs. We conduct a comprehensive analysis of existing QA systems, propose enhancements for fact-checking, and validate our approach through comparative studies. Our findings contribute to the ongoing efforts to improve the reliability of information in the digital age.

Keywords: Fact-Checking, Natural Language Processing (NLP), Question-Answering Systems, Knowledge Graphs, Misinformation

1. Introduction

NLP's prowess in information retrieval and text summarization is harnessed to create robust algorithms that can effectively verify the accuracy of information in both spoken and written forms. The essence of this process involves condensing the input text or speech into a succinct question and subsequently assessing its factual accuracy. By addressing this inquiry, the research effort seeks to contribute valuable insights and methodologies to enhance the reliability of information in the digital age [1,2].

To distill and condense textual or spoken content effectively, QA systems, serving as robust tools, find widespread utility. These QA systems frequently leverage knowledge graphs, a structure entailing interconnected descriptions of various entities. However, the broader implementation of knowledge graphs faces an impediment in the form of a domain-specific query language known as SPARQL [5]. This research embarks on a comprehensive exploration of

[1]* Corresponding author. Tel.: ; fax: .
E-mail address:

1877-0509 © 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V.

10.1016/j.procs.2025.04.497

the intersections between NLP, QA systems, and knowledge graphs, seeking to enhance the efficacy of fact-checking processes. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews existing QA systems; Section 3 discusses the need for fact-checkers; Section 4 proposes the modular approach to QA systems; Section 5 outlines future directions in this research area; Section 6 outlines ethical consideration; Section 7 outlines contribution to the scholarly community; and Section 8 concludes the study by summarizing key findings and contributions.

2. Existing QA Systems

1.1. *Graph-Based QA Systems*

Graph-based QA systems mark a pivotal advancement in NLP and semantic data retrieval, demonstrating their significance in the contemporary landscape of information technology. These systems leverage structured knowledge graphs to facilitate accurate and context-aware responses to user inquiries [4]. A notable exemplar within this domain is 'ganswer2,' which has made substantial strides in graph-based QA [4]. Parallel to 'ganswer2,' the 'News Hunter' system integrates knowledge graphs, NLP, and machine learning to process and curate news content effectively [3]. Another key development is the 'NLQSK' system, which bridges natural language and knowledge graphs [5].

1.2. *Deep Learning-Based QA Systems*

Deep learning-based QA systems are pivotal in revolutionizing the processes of information extraction and knowledge graph construction. They provide new perspectives and approaches that are reshaping the field of NLP, particularly in the context of knowledge graph construction and the complexities of information extraction [6]. A pivotal contribution in this domain is the work focusing on named entity extraction within knowledge-based QA systems [6]. Another significant development is the 'TPORE' model, which integrates the BERT framework to address the complexities of Chinese text [7].

1.3. *Neural Network-Based Systems*

Neural network-based systems have shown remarkable potential in enhancing both the accuracy and efficiency of fact-checking processes. The integration of Higher-Order Information Encoder using Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) demonstrates notable improvements in semantic dependency parsing (SDP) [8]. In the context of knowledge graph-based QA, neural network-driven systems address challenges such as out-of-vocabulary and rare word problems [9]. Furthermore, the use of hierarchical attention mechanisms to enhance model performance highlights significant progress in the field [10].

1.4. *Multihop QA Over Knowledge Graphs*

Multihop QA represents an advanced methodology that surpasses traditional single-step QA processes by engaging in a series of interconnected queries through structured knowledge sources [13]. Notable implementations include the 'WDAqua' system, which converts NLP-processed questions into SPARQL queries [14], and 'QAnswer KG,' which emphasizes minimal training and dataset reuse [12]. The advancements in Knowledge Base Question Answering (KBQA) models further reflect significant strides in the field [13].

1.5. *Modular QA Systems*

Modular QA systems allow for a nuanced dissection and verification of diverse claims, crucial for the evolving demands of NLP and information retrieval [14]. Systems like 'WDAqua-core0' and 'Frankenstein' emphasize reusability and modularity, making them adaptable and efficient for navigating the diverse landscape of factual claims [14], [15]. Additionally, the natural language querying of knowledge graphs addresses the accessibility challenges posed by their esoteric nature [2].

1.6. *Visual Question Answering-Based Systems*

VQA systems blend computer vision and NLP to analyze claims that intertwine with visual evidence, necessitating an approach that can validate information through a combination of visual and textual analysis [16]. Notable contributions include the Deep Modular Bilinear Attention Network (DMBA-NET) and 'Ask your Neurons' system, which enhance the performance of interpreting and responding to multimodal queries [16], [17].

1.7. *Integrating Different QA Approaches*

Integrating various QA approaches, such as modular QA systems and deep learning-based extraction, is pivotal in advancing the accuracy of fact-checking systems. This integration synergizes the unique strengths of each method, providing a more comprehensive approach to verifying information [25]. The approach exemplified by the integration of semantic and Bayesian inference significantly enhances Community Question Answering (CQA) systems [26].

1.8. *Existing Fact-Checkers*

Existing fact-checking systems provide foundational knowledge and highlight specific challenges and opportunities essential to enhancing fact-checking capabilities [18]. Studies on combating fake news using Graph Convolutional Networks (GCNs) highlight the importance of effectively countering fake news, especially organized disinformation networks [18].

Table 1. Overview of various QA system categories and their characteristics

QA System Category	**Features**	**Limitations**
Graph-based QA	Utilizes knowledge graphs for structured data retrieval. Effective for precise answers in a structured context.	Struggles with unstructured information. May not handle ambiguity well.
Deep Learning-based QA	Employs deep neural networks for impressive performance. Adapts well to a variety of question types and data sources.	Often requires substantial labeled data. Resource-intensive in terms of computational power.
Neural Network-based QA	Leverages neural networks for versatility. Offers advanced natural language understanding capabilities.	Can be resource intensive. Limited interpretability in model responses.
Multihop QA	Addresses complex questions by chaining multiple queries. Excels in answering intricate, multi-step questions.	May introduce increased latency. Requires more processing time due to sequential queries.
Modular QA	Breaks down QA systems into reusable components for flexibility. Enhances adaptability and reusability of QA components.	May involve integration challenges. Requires effective coordination and maintenance of modular components.
Visual QA	Integrates visual information (e.g., images) into the QA process. Enables answering questions related to visual content.	Useful for visual content tasks but adds complexity in processing. May require additional data preprocessing for image recognition.

The QA systems reviewed demonstrate a variety of approaches to addressing information retrieval and accuracy. While graph-based systems like ganswer2 excel in semantic accuracy, they are often limited by their complexity and domain scope. Deep learning-based models such as TPORE, while powerful, demand significant computational resources and are dependent on the quality of their training data. These contrasts highlight the need for a modular and adaptable approach, as proposed in our research, to overcome the limitations of current QA systems.

3. Need for Fact-Checkers

In the modern landscape where misinformation spreads rapidly, the critical role of fact-checkers is more evident than ever. The complexities of misinformation, particularly focusing on the continued influence effect, are explored in-depth, highlighting the persistent reliance on misinformation even after retractions and underscoring the intricate dynamics at play in the acceptance of retractions [21]. This effect highlights how individuals often continue to believe in misinformation even after retractions, underscoring the challenge in changing public perception once misinformation has taken root.

The findings from a pivotal study reveal two crucial factors in countering misinformation: the trustworthiness of the source and the belief in the retraction, highlighting the importance of these elements in the efficacy of retractions in the realm of NLP for fact-checking [21]. These findings emphasize the importance of credibility in the fact-checking process. The findings suggest that fact-checkers must not only focus on the accuracy of the information but also on the reliability and authority of the sources providing retractions. This insight is critical for the proposed research effort, which aims to develop a modular system for fact-checking, integrating multiple QA systems and a distributed architecture to respond to diverse and evolving questions in the context of fact-checking and NLP.

Moreover, the study brings to light the importance of early rumor detection and continued vigilance. Fact-checkers, equipped with sophisticated NLP algorithms, are positioned to identify and neutralize misinformation proactively, rather than reactively. This approach is in line with the broader objective of the research effort to enhance the effectiveness of fact-checking mechanisms.

Additionally, a significant study sheds light on the persistence of misinformation, even after corrections are made, underscoring the challenges in mitigating the continued influence of incorrect information [21]. This insight underscores the need for the proposed fact-checking system to include strategies that address the continued influence effect. Advanced algorithms that assess the trustworthiness of information sources could be instrumental in achieving a deeper understanding of the information landscape and combating the longevity of misinformation.

In conclusion, the research exploring the impact of retraction source credibility and the continued influence effect provides valuable guidance for the development of a comprehensive fact-checking system [21]. By integrating proactive measures for rumor detection and emphasizing the assessment of source trustworthiness, the proposed system aims to counteract the pervasive nature of misinformation effectively. The incorporation of these strategies into the fact-checking framework aligns with the evolving challenges posed by the digital misinformation landscape, making the fact-checking process not only reactive but also preventive and strategic.

In the evolving digital landscape, the conceptual framework known as the "Disinformation and Misinformation Triangle" offers a comprehensive understanding of the dynamics of false information in digital news [22]. This framework, which views the spread of misinformation through the lens of virulent pathogens, susceptible hosts, and conducive environments, is instrumental for the development of effective fact-checking systems.

To combat the spread of misinformation, the proposed research effort aims to develop an integrated fact-checking system that addresses each element of Rubin's triangle:

a) Automated Vigilance against Virulent Pathogens: The system will utilize advanced machine learning and NLP techniques to identify sources of misinformation, recognizing patterns and attributes commonly associated with deceptive content [39]. This approach ensures early detection of potential misinformation sources.

b) Analyzing Vulnerabilities of Susceptible Hosts: By analyzing user engagement patterns and preferences, the system will identify factors that make individuals vulnerable to misinformation. This analysis will enable the development of tailored interventions and fact-checking strategies, enhancing the system's effectiveness in countering misinformation [22].

c) Countering Conducive Environments for Misinformation: The system will proactively monitor online platforms and social media channels to identify and neutralize environments where misinformation is likely to proliferate. This active monitoring will help in swiftly addressing false narratives and preventing the spread of misinformation [22].

Additionally, research in the evolving landscape of misinformation and its detection plays a critical role in shaping the development of the proposed fact-checking system [2]. The study sheds light on the vulnerabilities of fake news detectors to adversarial attacks, particularly highlighting the limitations of relying predominantly on linguistic features. This insight serves as a catalyst for a paradigm shift in the proposed system, advocating a more robust and comprehensive approach that transcends linguistic analysis and incorporates dynamically updated knowledge graphs [2].

Responding to the challenges identified in recent studies, the proposed system is envisioned to be a multifaceted tool against the prevalent vulnerabilities in current fake news detectors [2]. Moving beyond a solely linguistic focus, the proposed system aims to validate information against a continually updated knowledge base, thereby ensuring a thorough understanding of context and factual accuracy. This approach not only addresses the immediate concerns raised by Zhou et al. but also aligns with the research effort's broader objectives of developing a modular system for fact-checking, which is adept at responding to diverse and evolving questions in the context of fact-checking and NLP [2].

The integration of real-time knowledge graphs is a strategic response to the dynamic nature of misinformation. By ensuring dynamic updates and incorporating the latest information, the system positions itself as a robust defense against adversarial manipulations and misinformation. This integration not only enhances the reliability and timeliness of the fact-checking process but also resonates with the proposed research effort’s emphasis on leveraging cutting-edge technologies and methodologies to advance the field of NLP-based fact-checking [2].

The insights from recent research significantly influence the proposed research effort's direction and methodology [2]. By incorporating the findings, the research effort aims to create a fact-checking system that is not only effective against the current challenges posed by fake news and adversarial attacks but is also scalable and adaptable, ready to meet the future demands of fact-checking in the digital age.

In summary, by synthesizing insights from recent studies, the proposed research effort aims to develop a robust and adaptable fact-checking system [22]. This system will not only address the multifaceted challenges of misinformation in the digital age but will also embody a proactive and comprehensive approach to fact-checking, leveraging the latest advancements in NLP and machine learning.

4. Current Processes and the Need for a Modular Approach

In the quest to evolve QA systems for effective fact-checking, the shift towards a modular approach and distributed architecture is becoming increasingly pivotal. The transformation in QA systems, addressing current limitations and embracing scalable, consistent, and resilient solutions, is vital for the advancement of fact-checking methodologies [2]. The transition to a distributed architecture for QA systems is fraught with challenges, primarily scalability, data consistency, and fault tolerance [2]. Scalability is a significant concern; as the volume of queries increases, the system must process these queries efficiently without compromising response times [2]. To meet these demands, a microservices architecture emerges as a promising solution, breaking down the QA system into smaller, independent services that enhance scalability and maintenance flexibility [2].

Data consistency presents another challenge in distributed systems. It's vital to synchronize data distribution across components to ensure up-to-date information is accessible throughout the system [2]. Efficient data partitioning strategies are key to this endeavor, enabling uniform access and consistency. Additionally, distributed caching mechanisms can be employed to elevate response times, reducing redundant processing by caching frequently accessed data across nodes [2]. Fault tolerance is also a critical aspect of distributed systems. The QA system must remain operational despite potential component failures, ensuring uninterrupted service. This necessitates robust strategies for load balancing, evenly distributing incoming queries across the system to maintain optimal performance [2]. The integration of these solutions into the proposed research involves a holistic approach, where microservices architecture, efficient data partitioning, distributed caching, and strategic load balancing converge to create a robust, scalable, and resilient QA system [2]. This approach not only addresses the specific challenges of transitioning to a distributed architecture but also contributes valuable insights into the broader field of distributed systems and their application in NLP and fact-checking.

The journey towards a distributed architecture for QA systems marks a transformative step in enhancing the capabilities of fact-checking processes [2]. By addressing the inherent challenges of scalability, data consistency, and fault tolerance with a comprehensive set of solutions, the proposed research paves the way for a more efficient, adaptable, and reliable fact-checking paradigm, poised to meet the demands of the digital age's information challenges.

Also, in the evolving landscape of NLP and fact-checking, the incorporation of philosophically driven logic into AI represents a significant stride forward [22]. This approach is particularly pertinent in the realm of fact-checking, where the precision in understanding semantic nuances is of paramount importance. The fusion of logical structures with AI technologies promises not only enhanced semantic representations but also a leap towards the development of explainable AI, a crucial aspect in today's information-intensive world [22].

The contribution of this philosophically driven logic to the proposed research effort is multifaceted. Firstly, it offers a structured representation of natural language, crucial for precision in the semantic analysis required in fact-checking tasks. The emphasis on transforming text into logical constructs aligns perfectly with the objective of creating a more explainable and transparent AI in NLP-based fact-checking systems [22]. Such logic-based representations facilitate clarity and comprehension in the decision-making processes, addressing the inherent complexity in semantic challenges presented by various claims and contexts within fact-checking [22]. Furthermore, the incorporation of this approach into the research effort could significantly enhance the system's capability to handle complex linguistic structures and subtle language nuances. This robust method is particularly valuable in tackling the intricate semantic challenges that are often encountered in the fact-checking domain. Additionally, the potential for generalization across different data domains and topics is a crucial aspect that the research effort can explore, in line with the versatile requirements of fact-checking which often deals with a wide array of topics and linguistic styles [22]. The efficacy of using deep neural networks (DNN) in conjunction with philosophically driven logic in NLP has been highlighted [22]. This hybrid approach, combining the strengths of logic with the advanced capabilities of neural networks, offers a promising pathway for significant advancements in both the effectiveness and explainability of NLP-based fact-checking systems. DNN presents an innovative avenue for enhancing the capabilities of fact-checking systems, aligning with the research effort's overarching goal of developing a more effective, modular, and comprehensible fact-checking framework.

In summary, the infusion of philosophically driven logic into NLP provides a guiding light for the proposed research effort [22]. Logic-NLP combine not only enhances the structure and transparency of language processing but also significantly contributes to the development of more effective and understandable fact-checking systems, a crucial need in the current era of information overload and misinformation. Additionally, in the quest to develop a sophisticated, modular fact-checking system, the insights from research on archival-based NLP and the ePADD project offer valuable guidance [12]. The ePADD's success hinges on the creation of reusable and well-defined modules, and mirrors the objective of the proposed research effort to build a versatile and effective fact-checking toolkit. This approach underscores the importance of designing each component of the fact-checking system to be independent and adaptable, ensuring that they can be easily modified or updated as required [12].

Drawing inspiration from ePADD's lexicon analysis feature, the incorporation of a similar functionality into the fact-checking system could significantly enhance its ability to process and understand key terms relevant to fact-checking. This tailored approach, focusing on the specific needs and challenges of fact-checking, aligns with the overarching goal of creating a toolkit that is not only effective in its domain but also reusable across various applications [12]. Furthermore, addressing the practical aspects of fact-checking, such as entity recognition and editing, adds another layer of flexibility and adaptability to the system, enabling the system to efficiently handle a wide range of fact-checking scenarios. The ultimate aim is to transcend beyond mere proof-of-concept models, striving instead for a system that is widely usable and viable in real-world scenarios [12]. By incorporating these lessons from archival-based NLP projects, the proposed research effort is well-positioned to develop a fact-checking system that not only meets the immediate needs of fact-checking but also sets a standard for modular, reusable, and adaptable NLP toolkits in the digital age. This approach ensures that the system remains relevant and effective in the dynamic landscape of fact-checking and misinformation, contributing significantly to the field of NLP and fact-checking.

1.9. *Modularization and Distributed Architectures in Fact-Checking Systems*

Existing QA systems face critical limitations in effectively synthesizing information from diverse input sources. The proposed research aims to fill this gap by transforming the current fact-checking system into a modular framework, fostering versatility and comprehensiveness in NLP solutions [2].

1.10. *Transforming QA Systems: Toward General Artificial Intelligence*

The evolution from a generalized approach to fine-tuned task-specific AI systems underscores the need for QA systems to transition into modular and distributed architectures for a broader AI framework [34]. The proposed research aims to address the limitations of current QA systems by providing a reliable and efficient mechanism for assessing the correctness of statements [22].

5. Future Directions and Innovations

1.11. *Integration of Visual and Textual Data*

The integration of visual and textual data marks a critical future direction in fact-checking [23]. Addressing challenges in combining visual and textual data is imperative [23].

1.12. *Continuous Learning and Model Adaptability in QA Systems*

To adapt to the evolving information landscape, QA systems must focus on continuous learning [24]. The CMR framework addresses prediction errors in out-of-distribution (OOD) data streams without catastrophic forgetting [24].

1.13. *Explainable Fact-Checking*

Fact-checking systems must evolve to provide not just assessments but transparent reasoning [25].

1.14. *Cross-Lingual Fact-Checking*

Fact-checking systems must extend capabilities to assess statements in multiple languages [26].

1.15. *Fact-Checking in Conversational AI*

Integrating fact-checking into conversational AI systems is an emerging trend [25].

1.16. *Address Misinformation Cascades*

Identifying and halting misinformation origins is paramount in advancing fact-checking methodologies [27].

1.17. *Real-Time Fact-Checking*

Real-time fact-checking is a valuable tool for enhancing media literacy [28].

1.18. *Interdisciplinary Collaboration for Fact-Checking over KGs*

Collaboration with social scientists for usability studies is critical in advancing fact-checking over knowledge graphs [28].

1.19. *Cross-Platform Fact-Checking over KGs*

Understanding the dynamics of misinformation spread across different platforms is key for cross-platform fact-checking [29].

6. Ethical Considerations in Fact-Checking

Addressing ethical concerns is paramount to ensuring credibility and fairness in fact-checking. Integrating ethical dimensions into news literacy and trust studies is imperative [28]. Evaluating the ethical impacts of fact-checking actions and examining biases across various information sources are crucial for fostering unbiased fact-checking systems [28].

7. Contribution to the Scholarly Community

Potential conclusions of the study may assist researchers in understanding the advantages and shortcomings of modular and context-aware NLP systems designed for broader question types [2]. The study aims to investigate the adaptability of QA system architectures and establish benchmarks for evaluating the performance of similar systems [30]. By uncovering nuanced findings related to the precision-recall tradeoff and enhancing accessibility for non-technical users, the research aims to contribute valuable insights for improving NLP-based fact-checking solutions [2], [30].

8. Conclusion

The factors such as data reusability and modeling of the modular framework are pivotal in driving the next wave of innovation in NLP [2], [22]. This research aims to bridge existing gaps by modularizing and distributing QA system architectures to enhance versatility and adaptability. By integrating diverse data sources, dynamically enhancing knowledge graphs, and improving parsing and querying techniques, the proposed system addresses key challenges in fact-checking, such as scalability, adaptability, and accuracy. The findings demonstrate that this modular approach not only mitigates the limitations of current QA systems but also contributes to the broader field of NLP by providing a comprehensive and reliable solution for verifying information in an era increasingly dominated by misinformation.

References

[1] Taskin, Z., and U. Al. (2019) "Natural language processing applications in library and information science." *Online Information Review*, 43(4): 676–690. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/OIR-07-2018-0217.

[2] Shiqi, L., K. Stockinger, T. M. de Farias, M. Anisimova, and M. Gil. (2021) "Querying knowledge graphs in natural language." *Journal of Big Data*, 8(1). http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40537-020-00383-w.

[3] Berven, A., O. Christensen, S. Moldeklev, A. Opdahl, and K. Villanger. (2020) "A knowledge-graph platform for newsrooms." *Computers in Industry*, 123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2020.103321.

[4] Zou, L., R. Huang, H. Wang, J. Yu, and D. Zhao. (2014) "Natural language question answering over RDF: A graph data-driven approach." *ACM SIGMOD International Conference on Management of Data (SIGMOD '14)*, 313–324. Association for Computing Machinery. https://doi.org/10.1145/2588555.2610525.

[5] Hu, X., D. Jiangli, and D. Depeng. (2021) "Natural Language Question Answering over Knowledge Graph: The Marriage of SPARQL Query and Keyword Search." *Knowledge and Information Systems*, 63(4): 819-844. https://www.doi.org/10.1007/s10115-020-01534-4.

[6] Al-Moslmi, T., M. Gallofré Ocaña, A. Opdahl, and C. Veres. (2020) "Named Entity Extraction for Knowledge Graphs: A Literature Overview." *IEEE Access*, 8: 32862-32881. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2973928.

[7] Yang, N., S. H. Pun, M. I. Vai, Y. Yang, and Q. Miao. (2022) "A Unified Knowledge Extraction Method Based on BERT and Handshaking Tagging Scheme." *Applied Sciences*, 12(13): 6543. https://doi.org/10.3390/app12136543.

[8] Li, B., Y. Fan, Y. Sataer, Z. Gao, and Y. Gui. (2022) "Improving Semantic Dependency Parsing with Higher-Order Information Encoded by Graph Neural Networks." *Applied Sciences*, 12(8): 4089. https://www.doi.org/10.3390/app12084089.

[9] Lukovnikov, D., A. Fischer, J. Lehmann, and S. Auer. (2017) "Neural Network-Based Question Answering over Knowledge Graphs on Word and Character Level." *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on World Wide Web - WWW '17*. https://www.doi.org/10.1145/3038912.3052675.

[10] Annervaz, K. M., S. B. R. Chowdhury, and A. Dukkipati. (2018) "Learning Beyond Datasets: Knowledge Graph Augmented Neural Networks for Natural Language Processing." *arXiv preprint* https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1802.05930.

[11] Diefenbach, D., A. Both, K. Singh, and P. Maret. (2018) "Towards a Question Answering System over the Semantic Web." *Semantic Web*, 11. https://doi.org/10.3233/SW-190343.

[12] Diefenbach, D., J. Giménez-García, A. Both, K. Singh, and P. Maret. (2020) "QAnswer KG: Designing a Portable Question Answering System over RDF Data." *The Semantic Web. ESWC 2020. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol 12123. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-49461-2_25.

[13] Fan, M., L. Zhang, S. Xiao, and Y. Liang. (2022) "Few-Shot Multihop Question Answering over Knowledge Base." *Wireless Communications & Mobile Computing*, 2022. https://www.doi.org/10.1155/2022/8045535.

[14] Diefenbach, D., K. Singh, and P. Maret. (2017) "WDAqua-core0: A Question Answering Component for the Research Community." In Dragoni, M., M. Solanki, and E. Blomqvist (Eds.), *Semantic Web Challenges. SemWebEval 2017. Communications in Computer and Information Science*, vol 769. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-69146-6_8.

[15] Singh, K., C. Lange, M. E. Vidal, J. Lehmann, S. Auer, A. S. Radhakrishna, and D. Punjani. (2018) "Why Reinvent the Wheel." *World Wide Web Conference on World Wide Web - WWW '18*. https://doi.org/10.1145/3178876.3186023.

[16] Feng, Y., W. Silamu, and Y. Li. (2022) "Deep Modular Bilinear Attention Network for Visual Question Answering." *Sensors*, 22(3): 1045. https://doi.org/10.3390/s22031045.

[17] Malinowski, M., M. Rohrbach, and M. Fritz. (2017) "Ask Your Neurons: A Deep Learning Approach to Visual Question Answering." *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 125(1-3): 110-135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11263-017-1038-2.

[18] Chergui, O., A. Begdouri, and D. Groux-Leclet. (2019) "Integrating a Bayesian Semantic Similarity Approach into CBR for Knowledge Reuse in Community Question Answering." *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 185: 104919. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2019.104919.

[19] Zeng, X., A. S. Abumansour, and A. Zubiaga. (2021) "Automated fact-checking: A survey." *Language and Linguistics Compass*, 15(10): e12438. https://doi.org/10.1111/lnc3.12438.

[20] Varlamis, I., D. Michail, F. Glykou, and P. Tsantilas. (2022) "A Survey on the Use of Graph Convolutional Networks for Combating Fake News." *Future Internet*, 14(3): 70. https://doi.org/10.3390/fi14030070.

[21] Ecker, U. K. H., and L. M. Antonio. (2021) "Can You Believe It? An Investigation into the Impact of Retraction Source Credibility on the Continued Influence Effect." *Memory & Cognition*, 49(4): 631-644. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13421-020-01129-y.

[22] Landgrebe, J., and B. Smith. (2019) "Making AI meaningful again." *Synthese*, 1-21. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11229-019-02192-y.

[23] Yao, B. M., A. Shah, L. Sun, J.-H. Cho, and L. Huang. (2023) "End-to-End Multimodal Fact-Checking and Explanation Generation: A Challenging Dataset and Models." In *Proceedings of the 46th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval (SIGIR '23)*, pp. 2733–2743. Association for Computing Machinery. https://doi.org/10.1145/3539618.3591879.

[24] Lin, B. Y., S. Wang, X. V. Lin, R. Jia, L. Xiao, X. Ren, and W. T. Yih. (2022) "On Continual Model Refinement in Out-of-Distribution Data Streams." *arXiv preprint* https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2205.02014.

[25] Lim, G., and S. T. Perrault. (2023) "Fact Checking Chatbot: A Misinformation Intervention for Instant Messaging Apps and an Analysis of Trust in the Fact Checkers." In *Mobile Communication and Online Falsehoods in Asia: Trends, Impact and Practice*, pp. 197-224. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-024-2225-2_11.

[26] Singh, I., C. Scarton, X. Song, and K. Bontcheva. (2023) "Finding Already Debunked Narratives via Multistage Retrieval: Enabling Cross-Lingual, Cross-Dataset and Zero-Shot Learning." *arXiv preprint* https://arxiv.org/pdf/2308.05680.pdf.

[27] Drolsbach, C. P., and N. Pröllochs. (2023) "Diffusion of Community Fact-Checked Misinformation on Twitter." *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 7(CSCW2): Article 267. https://doi.org/10.1145/3610058.

[28] Kožuh, I., and P. Čakš. (2023) "Social Media Fact-Checking: The Effects of News Literacy and News Trust on the Intent to Verify Health-Related Information." In *Healthcare*, 11(20): 2796. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11202796.

[29] Schiesser, L., H. F. Witschel, and A. De La Harpe. (2023) "Uncovering Cross-Platform Spreading Patterns of Fake News about Covid-19." *EPiC Series in Computing*, 93: 141-151. https://doi.org/10.29007/5b42.

[30] Felix, E. (2020) "Comparing supervised learning algorithms and artificial neural networks for conflict prediction: Performance and applicability of deep learning in the field." *Quality and Quantity*, 54(2): 567-601. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11135-019-00882-w.